



nothing to do with it except as witnesses to the contact. As far as Chrysler hubcaps are concerned - how stupid can a person get to not be able to tell the difference between a hubcap and something else. Ever since 1952, smart guys tried hard to discredit the photographs by these methods, but none of them were able to accomplish this deed. It is this kind of character who keeps the truth away from the majority of the people. These photographs of mine are now being used as models for our future space craft by intelligent people. It is laughable that overnight all kinds of experts have risen regarding nature's laws, when they don't even know what makes the heart beat. Like Jesus said, "Blind lead the blind", and we have plenty of them. They don't know what dignity is - all they can live by is rubbish.

George Adamski, 314, Lado de Loma Drive, Vista, California, U.S.A.

(ak) Dear Jimmy,

Mr. Steinberg for some time has criticised my work and I have let it go without comment for I thought it would only further his cause of destroying any serious attempt at an investigation of this enigma; however the time has come to state the facts as they really exist and not as Steinberg would have them. Mr. Steinberg states that I have only a casual interest in the flying saucer phenomena as well as lacking the proper investigative techniques to properly study this mystery. This is most untrue. I have personally investigated this phenomenon for the past 4 years. During that period of time I have written articles about my findings which have appeared in many leading journals on the subject. I have also written a volume entitled INSIDE THE SAUCERS and have been editor of INTERPLANETARY NEWS SERVICE REPORT since December 1961. I let my record stand for my accomplishments while the only record Eugene has is one that every researcher he has had any reasonable amount of contact with has shunned. His uncalled-for attacks on others has only led him further down the path to abolishment. I let the records show who is a better authority on flying saucers. Yes, Jimmy, there is a Eugene Steinberg but we'd rather go on doing our best without him.

Timothy Green Beckley, 3, Courtland Street, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901, U.S.A.

(al) Dear Jimmy,

I have no wish to annoy Mr. Steinberg, but I feel I must comment on this type of letter. Adamski and other contactees have come in for much unwarranted criticism from sceptics, and it is surely now a case of "Let him that readeth understand". As Master Kuthumi says in Brother Philip's book "Secret of the Andes" - "Those who are now calling other people's material 'phony'...they are the ones to look out for, because we need not point a finger at anyone if we have Truth...Those who are working with the Christ Light need prove nothing. Their own work is their calling card. 'By their works ye shall know them; by their fruits

ye shall know them'. Is this not true?"

I am sorry Mr. Steinberg, and others who may feel anxious about our credulity, but those who truly work for the New Age need prove nothing. "He that hath an ear, let him hear".

Dave Hicks, 28, Cotteswold Road, Gloucester, Gloucestershire.

(am) Dear Jimmy,

My latest sightings occurred on the afternoon of Thursday December 3, 1964. It was my half-day, but Len our van-driver had to work so I asked if I could go out on the van with him. I was going to try and sabotage a hunt, but when I learned we were going in the opposite direction I said, "Let's look for flying saucers then". I started muttering HOJ-63 (a "telepathic call-sign" I had been given by a friend in Blackburn, Lancashire, several months ago - J.G.). We had just gone through Yeovil's shopping centre when I saw the first UFO. We were looking at a jet plane when we saw a rather vague object stationary just above the jet. After about another minute we looked up again and Len stopped the van. The object had come much lower and was like a disjuncted aircraft. We started off again and had gone about two hundred yards when I quickly told Len to stop and look at two more UFOs. The jet was still about overhead when we saw a small cigar-like object, followed by a larger oval object completely round and shining very brightly. These rushed past the jet; height could not be ascertained but they seemed to be lower than the jet. Judging the jet to be travelling at about 450 m.p.h., I would judge the two smaller objects to be travelling at 1,200 m.p.h. (giving a low estimate).

Shortly afterwards all four objects vanished into cloud. The white oval object could have been a balloon until I realised that the wind was North and fairly strong, and all of the objects were going in a North-west direction, which must mean that they were travelling under their own power. (Though it must be borne in mind here that winds can blow in different directions at different altitudes - J.G.). The time was approximately 2.50 p.m. and in broad daylight. We were looking for UFOs. The jet was probably from the R.N.A.S. Station at Yeovilton.

Derek Andrews, 66, Westfield Road, Yeovil, Somerset.

(I will apologise here for not including some letters which I said I would, but more material came in than I thought, and there just wasn't the space - J.G.).

REPORT FROM MARINER II

C. A. Honey.

(Reprinted from the S.P. Newsletter by permission of C. A. Honey).

Most of my readers have no doubt read the newspaper reports disclosing the findings of Mariner 2. Many were disturbed at the reports of surface temperatures in the order of  $600^{\circ}$  on the surface of Venus. Measurements from earth had already shown a Venusian temperature of  $600^{\circ}$  which was fully explained in Mr. Adamski's last book, Flying Saucers Farewell. (P. 60-62). Measurements from earth also agreed with Mariner 2's report on the cloud layers, minus  $60^{\circ}$  at the upper level to  $-30^{\circ}$ F. at the lower levels. Let's look into this business of temperature measurement a little closer and see just what gives with this new (or is it) information.

Let's look at the earth first and see what the picture is here. Those who have studied books on the ionosphere will realize that it consists of several layers of different characteristics, named the D, E and F layers. These are broken down into layers called E1 and E2 and also F1 and F2. Similar layers no doubt exist around all planets.

If we could start out at the surface of the earth and measure the temperature as we went upwards we would find that it got colder and colder as we increased altitude. This would continue until it reached about  $-75^{\circ}$ F. This varies of course from the figures given here and elsewhere are approximations.

The E layer reaches from approximately 50 to 100 miles, with the F layer above that. In reaching an altitude of 60 miles we would be amazed to find the temperature had climbed to  $-3^{\circ}$ F. By the time we had reached an altitude of 120 miles the outside temperature would be about  $1200^{\circ}$ F. At 180 miles it would be about  $1700^{\circ}$ F, dropping above that to temperatures between  $725^{\circ}$  to  $1527^{\circ}$  at 240 miles altitude. At 300 miles up we would find layers running from  $1100$  to  $2400^{\circ}$ F. Rocket probes in recent months measured layers averaging from  $4000^{\circ}$ F to  $6000^{\circ}$ F. Now a new factor enters the picture.

The high temperatures measured around earth are not heat temperatures as we know it. Instead it is a measurement of what is termed "kinetic" temperature. Another term for this is electron temperature. When solar radiation enters and is absorbed by the ionosphere, photo-electrons are emitted that have energies much greater than those of the existing atmospheric particles. If energy continues to be built up in this process temperatures can go extremely high (kinetic temp.). As an example we have kinetic temperatures around the sun reaching 2 million degrees while the surface has a measured (according to conventional science) temperature of only  $6000^{\circ}$ .

What is kinetic temperature in everyday language? It is not heat. It can be illustrated in this manner. If we drop water on a hot stove



telescopes were good for studying large objects a long way off, they were almost useless for small, near, fast-moving objects because of their limited field of vision. Also it was said that astronomers are apparently ignorant of the evidence for UFOs, though there was a rumour that von Braun had said we were face to face with extraterrestrial powers.

Another question asked that as many UFOs appear to dematerialise, did this suggest they came from another plane? Mr. Knowstub told of a time he had seen a helicopter apparently dematerialise, due to ground mist. Also there was an account of a cigar appearing over a French town, vanishing when aircraft approached, reappearing when they had gone.

The next question dealt with the similarity between the hieroglyphics on Adamski's photo plate and those discovered by Professor Homet on a prehistoric rock in Brazil. Mr. Creighton said that as the hieroglyphics in Homet's book were not the actual picture found on the rock, but made up of symbols from many rocks, the similarity was non-existent. However, on writing to Adamski, I found out that the book was never meant to be read that they were the actual symbols all discovered on one rock, but the fact that the symbols themselves were similar suggests a similar language in both cases.

Then it was asked whether any light was able to be shed on the Templeton photograph, in which a mystery "spaceman" had appeared. At the time of the meeting the "iron curtain of security had dropped with a thud", but that before this had happened quite a bit of information had leaked through. One thing was that Mr. Templeton had said the cattle and sheep in a neighboring field were huddled together as if a storm was brewing, though there was no sign of one. It was suggested that the electromagnetic effect of an invisible UFO had caused this, and if the camera had been pointed towards this it would have come out on the photo as well. Gavin Gibbons stated that this security curtain should never be tolerated in a democratic country, and anyone who came up against it should make a great fuss about it.

After this there was a break for refreshments, and after this it was asked what evidence there was for Earth bases for UFOs. It was said there was no evidence except the hearsay of some contactees, but this was only natural since they would not want our intrusion in their bases.

When it was asked what evidence there was for hostility in UFOs, it was agreed that there was no evidence of real hostility; all the casualties so far reported could have been accidents. With the power at their disposal, they could easily wipe us all out if they wished.

Another question asked if there was any light shed on UFO colour changes. It was stated that these were similar to those colour changes caused by heat inside the tailpipe of a jet plane. Also it was said that a large diameter jet covering the whole diameter of a disc would be very quiet and would not need much pressure per square inch to lift the craft.

